

Estimation, confidence intervals and tests using a normal distribution 5D

$$1 \quad n_Q = 100 \quad \bar{x}_Q = 28.7 \quad s_Q = 7.32$$

$$n_S = 100 \quad \bar{x}_S = 30.6 \quad s_S = 3.51$$

$$H_0 : \mu_Q = \mu_S \quad H_1 : \mu_Q < \mu_S$$

(i.e. Quickdry dries in a shorter time than Speedcover.)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{t.s. is } z &= \frac{(30.6 - 28.7) - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{7.32^2}{100} + \frac{3.51^2}{100}}} \\ &= 2.34 \end{aligned}$$

Test $\mu_S > \mu_Q$ to get $z > 0$.

t.s. is $2.34 > 1.6449$ so the result is significant.

5% c.v. is $z = 1.6449$

There is evidence that Quickdry dries faster than Speedcover.

$$2 \quad \mathbf{a} \quad n_1 = 80 \quad \bar{x}_1 = 38.64 \quad s_1 = 6.59$$

$$n_2 = 120 \quad \bar{x}_2 = 40.13 \quad s_2 = 8.23$$

$$H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 \quad H_1 : \mu_2 > \mu_1$$

5% c.v. is $z = 1.6449$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{t.s. is } z &= \frac{(40.13 - 38.64) - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{6.59^2}{80} + \frac{8.23^2}{120}}} \\ &= 1.42 \end{aligned}$$

t.s. is $1.42 < 1.6449$ so the result is not significant.

There is insufficient evidence to confirm that mean expenditure in the week is more than at weekends.

b We have assumed that $s_1 = \sigma_1$ and $s_2 = \sigma_2$.

$$3 \quad s = \sigma = 1.12, \quad n = 250, \quad \bar{x} = 9.88$$

$$\mathbf{a} \quad H_0 : \mu = 10 \quad H_1 : \mu \neq 10$$

5% c.v. is $z = \pm 1.96$

$$\text{t.s. is } z = \frac{9.88 - 10}{\sqrt{\frac{1.12^2}{250}}} = -1.694... > -1.96$$

Not significant

Insufficient evidence to support a change in mean mass.

b We have assumed that $s = \sigma$ since n is large.

$$4 \quad \mathbf{a} \quad H_0 : \mu_A = \mu_B \quad H_1 : \mu_A < \mu_B \quad \text{c.v. is } z = -2.3263$$

$$\text{t.s. is } z = \frac{(84.1 - 87.9) - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{12.5^2}{90} + \frac{14.6^2}{110}}} = -1.9825... > -2.3263$$

Not significant so accept H_0 .

4 b $H_0 : \mu_A - \mu_B = 2$ $H_1 : \mu_A - \mu_B > 2$ c.v. is $z = 1.6449$

$$\text{t.s. is } z = \frac{(125.1 - 119.3) - 2}{\sqrt{\frac{23.2^2}{150} + \frac{18.4^2}{200}}} = 1.6535... > 1.6449$$

Significant so reject H_0 .

c We have assumed $s_A = \sigma_A$ and $s_B = \sigma_B$ since the samples are both large.

5 $n = 100, \bar{x} = 83.6, s = 7.2$

$H_0 : \mu = 85$ $H_1 : \mu < 85$ c.v. is $z = -1.6449$

$$\text{t.s. is } z = \frac{(83.6 - 85)}{\left(\frac{7.2}{\sqrt{100}}\right)} = -1.944... < -1.6449$$

Significant

There is evidence that the weights of chocolate bars are less than the stated value.

6 $n_1 = 250$ $\bar{x}_1 = 22.45$ $S_1 = 2.9$

$n_2 = 280$ $\bar{x}_2 = 22.96$ $S_2 = 2.8$

Assume $S_i = \sigma_i$ since samples are large.

$H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$ $H_1 : \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$

Use 5% significance level

c.v. is $Z = \pm 1.96$

a $\text{t.s.} = Z = \frac{(22.96 - 22.45) - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{2.9^2}{250} + \frac{2.8^2}{280}}}$

$\text{t.s.} = Z = 2.054... > 1.96$

Use $\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1$ to make

Result is significant.

There is evidence of a difference in mean age of first-time mothers between these two dates.

b These is no need to have to assume that both populations were normally distributed since both samples were large so the Central Limit Theorem allows you to assume both sample means are normally distributed.

We have assumed that $S_1 = \sigma_1$ and $S_2 = \sigma_2$